

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,841	08/02/2001	Alain Rambach	1567P368	8188
7590 01/09/2004			EXAMINER	
Blakely Sokol	off Taylor & Zafman	•	MARX,	IRENE
7th Floor 12400 Wilshire	Roulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CA 90025-1026		1651	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cummons	09/890,841	RAMBACH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Irene Marx	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 O</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 25-27 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 25-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the second	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 of the sentence of the specification of the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1200 or priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1200 or priorit	ion No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  r in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived.  and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) D Notice of Informal F	Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/890,841

Art Unit: 1651

The application should be reviewed for errors and conformity with domestic practice. Error occurs, for example, in the spelling of "galatosidase" in claim 6.

The election without traverse filed 10/27/03 is acknowledged. Claims 1-9 and 25-27 are being considered on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and 25 is vague, indefinite and confusing in the recitation "indoxyl derivative", since the "derivatives" intended are not defined with any specifity are these chemical, physical or biological "derivatives"?

Claim 4 is vague, indefinite and confusing in the use of abbreviations for the substrates. The full chemical name should be provided. No new matter may be added.

Claim 6 is vague and indefinite in the recitation of "aerobic anaerobic bacteria". It is unclear what is intended.

Claim 8 is vague and indefinite in the use of parenthesis, since it is unclear whether the parenthetical material is or is not intended to be part of the claim.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,841

Art Unit: 1651

App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim s 4-6, 7, 9 and 25 recite a broad recitation, and the claim also recites "preferably" or "in particular" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim 25 is vague, indefinite and confusing in the recitation "which may contain bacteria, cultured under anaerobic conditions"

Claim 26 vague and indefinite in containing a culturing step in a composition claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sellers.

The present application relates to a bacteria culture medium including a metal complex enabling the oxidative polymerization of an indoxyl derivative and a substrate containing an indoxyl derivative, leading to an insoluble colored compound.

Sellers describes a culture medium for the identification of Proteus and Providencia enteric bacterial strains using a combination of L-tryptophan (a compound including an indole ring) with ammonium ferric citrate and "Brom cresol purple" (Abstract). In this culture medium, the tryptophan deamination reaction catalyzed by a bacterial enzyme causes the formation of

Application/Control Number: 09/890,841

Art Unit: 1651

non-metabolizable colored complexes that provide a permanent colored indication of the presence of cells of *Proteus* and *Providencia* (Column 3, lines 28-35). Thus the medium comprises an enzyme and may contain bacteria. The reference also teaches a medium containing 0.5 g/l of ammonium ferric citrate (column 3, lines 36-50). The intended use of "anaerobic conditions" does not affect patentability. In any event, the media disclosed in the reference is suitable for use under anaerobic conditions.

Claims 1-9 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sellers taken with Chevalier *et al.* and Difco Manual.

Sellers is discussed above.

The reference differs from the claimed invention in the use of magnesium sulfate and/or an antibiotic in the medium and in the use of cysteinated Columbia medium. However, Chevalier discloses a similar medium for the detection of bacteria, such as Lactobacilli MRS medium which contains magnesium sulfate in combination with chromogenic substrates for the detection of  $\alpha$ -galactosidase (See, e.g., Chevalier, page 77, Difco Manual, pages 246-247). Moreover, Chevalier *et al.* disclose that the use of antibiotics in selective media is a well known technique in the art (See, e.g., page 76, paragraph 1).

In addition, the use of cysteinated Columbia medium is old and well known in the art, as adequately demonstrated by Difco Manual (See, e.g., pages 125-126). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the medium of Sellers by replacing sodium thiosulfate with magnesium sulfate in the medium or by using cysteinated Columbia medium in conjunction with chromogenic substrates with or without antibiotics for the expected benefit of providing a medium capable of selectively detecting deleterious bacteria.

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was clearly *prima facie* obvious, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is 703-308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

- Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/890,841

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0926.

Irene Marx

Primary Examiner

Trene many

Art Unit 1651